Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/636,111	MUKKAMALA, RAVINDRANATH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra K. Poulos	1714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sandra K. Poulos</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenneth Crimaldi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	
Claims discussed:		
1, 7		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Authorization for an examiner's amendment was given by claim 1.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sure 	 The examiner will provide a grate record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
I. Ba	C. Carlotta	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	oplicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	